



TAXREP 11/14  
(ICAEW REP 24/14)

ICAEW TAX REPRESENTATION

**DRAFT FINANCE BILL 2014: RECOMMENDED MEDICAL TREATMENT**

**Comments submitted on 4 February 2014 by ICAEW Tax Faculty in response to HMRC technical consultation document *Draft Finance Bill 2014: tax relief for employer expenditure on recommended medical treatment* published on 10 December 2013**

**Contents**

	<b>Paragraph</b>
Introduction	1-3
Who we are	4-6
Comments	7-9
Ten Tenets for a Better Tax System	Appendix 1

## INTRODUCTION

1. ICAEW welcomes the opportunity to comment on the technical consultation document [\*Draft Finance Bill 2014: tax relief for employer expenditure on recommended medical treatment\*](#) published by HM Revenue & Customs (HMRC) on 10 December 2013.
2. We should be happy to discuss any aspect of our comments and to take part in all further consultations on this area.
3. Information about the Tax Faculty and ICAEW is given below. We have also set out, in Appendix 1, the Tax Faculty's Ten Tenets for a Better Tax System by which we benchmark proposals to change the tax system.

## WHO WE ARE

4. ICAEW is a world leading professional membership organisation that promotes, develops and supports over 142,000 chartered accountants worldwide. We provide qualifications and professional development, share our knowledge, insight and technical expertise, and protect the quality and integrity of the accountancy and finance profession.
5. As leaders in accountancy, finance and business our members have the knowledge, skills and commitment to maintain the highest professional standards and integrity. Together we contribute to the success of individuals, organisations, communities and economies around the world.
6. The Tax Faculty is the voice of tax within ICAEW and is a leading authority on taxation. Internationally recognised as a source of expertise, the faculty is responsible for submissions to tax authorities on behalf of ICAEW as a whole. It also provides a range of tax services, including TAXline, a monthly journal sent to more than 8,000 members, a weekly newswire and a referral scheme.

## COMMENTS

7. We welcome the introduction of a statutory exemption from income tax and NIC when an employer meets the cost of recommended medical treatment.
8. However we are concerned that the exemption will have an annual cap of £500 per employee. Given the cost of medical treatment, we fear that the low limit, whilst useful as far as it goes, will render the measure nugatory. It will also make the provision more difficult for employers to monitor where several payments are made in respect of an individual employee. Employers pay for such treatment only if they consider that it is of benefit to their business.
9. We therefore feel that such payments should be allowable without limit, or if there is to be a limit, then, to ease compliance and therefore better align with our Tenets 3 and 4 in Appendix 1, the limit in new section 320C(2) ITEPA 2003 should be set at a figure that is likely in most cases to cover the reasonable costs of such treatment.

E [peter.bickley@icaew.com](mailto:peter.bickley@icaew.com)

Copyright © ICAEW 2014  
All rights reserved.

This document may be reproduced without specific permission, in whole or part, free of charge and in any format or medium, subject to the conditions that:

- it is appropriately attributed, replicated accurately and is not used in a misleading context;
- the source of the extract or document is acknowledged and the title and ICAEW reference number are quoted.

Where third-party copyright material has been identified application for permission must be made to the copyright holder.

[icaew.com/taxfac](http://icaew.com/taxfac)

## APPENDIX 1

### ICAEW TAX FACULTY'S TEN TENETS FOR A BETTER TAX SYSTEM

The tax system should be:

1. Statutory: tax legislation should be enacted by statute and subject to proper democratic scrutiny by Parliament.
2. Certain: in virtually all circumstances the application of the tax rules should be certain. It should not normally be necessary for anyone to resort to the courts in order to resolve how the rules operate in relation to his or her tax affairs.
3. Simple: the tax rules should aim to be simple, understandable and clear in their objectives.
4. Easy to collect and to calculate: a person's tax liability should be easy to calculate and straightforward and cheap to collect.
5. Properly targeted: when anti-avoidance legislation is passed, due regard should be had to maintaining the simplicity and certainty of the tax system by targeting it to close specific loopholes.
6. Constant: Changes to the underlying rules should be kept to a minimum. There should be a justifiable economic and/or social basis for any change to the tax rules and this justification should be made public and the underlying policy made clear.
7. Subject to proper consultation: other than in exceptional circumstances, the Government should allow adequate time for both the drafting of tax legislation and full consultation on it.
8. Regularly reviewed: the tax rules should be subject to a regular public review to determine their continuing relevance and whether their original justification has been realised. If a tax rule is no longer relevant, then it should be repealed.
9. Fair and reasonable: the revenue authorities have a duty to exercise their powers reasonably. There should be a right of appeal to an independent tribunal against all their decisions.
10. Competitive: tax rules and rates should be framed so as to encourage investment, capital and trade in and with the UK.

These are explained in more detail in our discussion document published in October 1999 as TAXGUIDE 4/99 (see [icaew.com/en/technical/tax/tax-faculty/-/media/Files/Technical/Tax/Tax%20news/TaxGuides/TAXGUIDE-4-99-Towards-a-Better-tax-system.ashx](http://icaew.com/en/technical/tax/tax-faculty/-/media/Files/Technical/Tax/Tax%20news/TaxGuides/TAXGUIDE-4-99-Towards-a-Better-tax-system.ashx) )