



THE INSTITUTE  
OF CHARTERED  
ACCOUNTANTS  
IN ENGLAND AND WALES

14 March 2008

Our ref: ICAEW Rep 27/08

Mr Stig Enevoldsen  
Chairman  
European Financial Reporting Advisory Group  
13-14 Avenue des Arts  
B-1210 Brussels

By email: [commentletter@efrag.org](mailto:commentletter@efrag.org)

Dear Stig

### **ENDORSEMENT OF IAS 1**

The Institute of Chartered Accountants in England and Wales welcomes the opportunity to comment on EFRAG's *Invitation to Comment on the Effects (Costs and Benefits) of IAS 1 (Revised) Presentation of Financial Statements*, published by EFRAG in February 2008.

Our responses to the relevant questions raised by EFRAG are attached as an appendix to this letter.

The Institute operates under a Royal Charter, working in the public interest. Its regulation of its members, in particular its responsibilities in respect of auditors, is overseen by the Financial Reporting Council. As a world-leading professional accountancy body, the Institute provides leadership and practical support to over 130,000 members in more than 140 countries.

We are satisfied that:

- (a) the benefits to be derived from applying IAS 1 (Revised) will exceed any costs involved; and
- (b) the revised standard meets the criteria for endorsement by the EU.

We therefore fully support endorsement.

Please contact me should you wish to discuss any of the points raised in this response.

Yours sincerely

Desmond Wright  
Senior Manager, Corporate Reporting  
T +44 (0)20 7920 8527

## **INVITATION TO COMMENT ON THE EFFECTS (COSTS AND BENEFITS) OF IAS 1 (REVISED)**

**1 Please provide the following details about yourself:**

**(a) Your name or, if you are responding on behalf of an organisation or company, its name:**

The Institute of chartered Accountants in England and Wales

**(b) Are you/Is your organisation or company a:**

☐ Preparer ☐ User ☐ Other (please specify)

Other - Professional body

**(c) Please provide a short description of your activity/ the general activity of your organisation or company:**

See covering letter.

**(d) Country where you/your organisation or company is located:**

United Kingdom

**(e) Contact details including e-mail address:**

See covering letter. desmond.wright@icaew.com

**2 EFRAG is carrying out an assessment of the costs and benefits that will arise for preparers and for users in implementing IAS 1 (Revised), both in year one and in subsequent years. Some initial work has been carried out, and the responses to this Invitation to Comment will be used to complete the work. The results of the initial assessment are set out in Appendix 3. To summarise, IAS 1 (Revised) will:**

**(a) involve preparers incurring some year one costs—in order to read, understand and implement the new requirements—but that those costs will be insignificant;**

**(b) not involve preparers incurring significant incremental ongoing costs; and**

**(c) involve users incurring only insignificant incremental year one or ongoing costs.**

**Do you agree with this assessment?**

Yes

**If you do not, please explain why you do not and (if possible) explain broadly what you believe the costs involved will be?**

NA.

**3 As EFRAG believes (as explained in Appendix 3) that IAS 1 (Revised) will to a certain extent improve the quality of the financial information provided and its implementation will involve on an overall level additional costs that will not be significant, it has tentatively concluded that the benefits to be derived from applying IAS 1 (Revised) will exceed the costs involved.**

**Do you agree with this assessment?**

Yes

**If you do not, please explain why you do not and what you think the implications should be for EFRAG's endorsement advice?**

NA.

**4 EFRAG is not aware of any factors other than those mentioned in appendices 2 and 3 that should be taken into account in reaching a decision as to what endorsement advice it should give the European Commission on IAS 1 (Revised).**

**Do you agree that there are no other factors?**

Yes

**If you do not, please explain why you do not and what you think the implications should be for EFRAG's endorsement advice?**

NA

**5 EFRAG's near final technical assessment of IAS 1 (Revised) is that IAS 1 (Revised) is not contrary to the true and fair principle and that it meets the criteria of understandability, relevance, reliability and comparability. EFRAG's reasoning in reaching this near-final view is explained in Appendix 2.**

**Do you agree with that assessment?**

Yes

**If you do not agree, it is presumably because you have a *significant* concern about IAS 1 (Revised) when judged against the technical criteria. Please could explain what that concern is, why you have it now (at this late stage of the process) but did not have it earlier, and what you think the implications should be for EFRAG's endorsement advice?**

NA

Email: [desmond.wright@icaew.com](mailto:desmond.wright@icaew.com)

© The Institute of Chartered Accountants in England and Wales 2008. All rights reserved.

This document may be reproduced without specific permission, in whole or part, free of charge and in any format or medium, subject to the conditions that:

- it is reproduced accurately and not used in a misleading context;
- the source of the extract or document, and the copyright of The Institute of Chartered Accountants in England and Wales, is acknowledged; and
- the title of the document and the reference number (ICAEW Rep 27/08) are quoted.

Where third-party copyright material has been identified application for permission must be made to the copyright holder.

[www.icaew.com](http://www.icaew.com)