



ICAEW TAX REPRESENTATION

CONSULTATION ON POTENTIAL DEBT CAP CHANGES FOR FINANCE BILL 2012

Comments submitted in August 2011 by the Tax Faculty of the Institute of Chartered Accountants in England & Wales (ICAEW) to HM Revenue & Customs in response to the HMRC Technical Note of 14 June 2011

Contents

	Paragraph
Introduction	1
Who we are	2 – 4
De Minimis Amount	5 - 9
Further contact	10
ICAEW and the Tax Faculty: who we are	Appendix 1
Ten Tenets for a Better Tax System	Appendix 2

CONSULTATION ON POTENTIAL DEBT CAP CHANGES FOR FINANCE BILL 2012

INTRODUCTION

1. We set out below our comments on Potential Debt Cap Changes for Finance Bill 2012 contained in the HMRC Technical Note of 14 June 2011.

WHO WE ARE

2. The ICAEW operates under a Royal Charter, working in the public interest. Its regulation of its members, in particular its responsibilities in respect of auditors, is overseen by the Financial Reporting Council. As a world leading professional accountancy body, the ICAEW provides leadership and practical support to over 136,000 members in more than 160 countries, working with governments, regulators and industry in order to ensure the highest standards are maintained. The ICAEW is a founding member of the Global Accounting Alliance with over 775,000 members worldwide. The Tax Faculty is the focus for tax within ICAEW.
3. Our members provide financial knowledge and guidance based on the highest technical and ethical standards. They are trained to challenge people and organisations to think and act differently, to provide clarity and rigour, and so help create and sustain prosperity. The Institute ensures these skills are constantly developed, recognised and valued.
4. The Tax Faculty is the focus for tax within the Institute. It is responsible for technical tax submissions on behalf of the Institute as a whole and it also provides various tax services including the monthly newsletter TAXline to more than 11,000 members of the Institute who pay an additional subscription, and a free weekly newswire.

DE MINIMIS AMOUNT

5. We do believe there is a need to address the asymmetry caused by the way in which the de minimis currently works whereby groups can suffer a disallowance without being able to benefit from an equivalent exemption of net financing income.
6. We think that the ideal arrangement would be for individual companies to be able to opt out of the £500,000 de minimis limit but we can see that that would potentially cause avoidance problems and so the proposal is that it should be on a group basis.
7. We think that making the election irrevocable is overly restrictive.
8. We believe that groups ought to be able to make the election on an annual basis.
9. However if that is not acceptable then we suggest that the election should apply unless/until the group drops out of debt cap e.g. via the gateway. A new election could then be available when the group passes the gateway i.e. when UK net debt is greater than the consolidated gross debt or the group otherwise comes back into debt cap

Further contact

10. For any further enquiries please contact:

Ian Young International Tax Manager, ICAEW Tax Faculty Email: ian.young@icaew.com Tel: +44 (0)207 7920 8652	
---	--

Copyright © ICAEW 2011
All rights reserved.

This document may be reproduced without specific permission, in whole or part, free of charge and in any format or medium, subject to the conditions that:

- it is appropriately attributed, replicated accurately and is not used in a misleading context
- the source of the extract or document is acknowledged and the reference number and title are quoted.

Where third-party copyright material has been identified application for permission must be made to the copyright holder.

www.icaew.com/taxfac

ICAEW AND THE TAX FACULTY: WHO WE ARE

1. ICAEW is a world-leading professional accountancy body. We operate under a Royal Charter which obliges us to work in the public interest. ICAEW's regulation of its members, in particular its responsibilities in respect of auditors, is overseen by the UK Financial Reporting Council. We provide leadership and practical support to over 136,000 member chartered accountants in more than 160 countries, working with governments, regulators and industry in order to ensure that the highest standards are maintained.
2. ICAEW members operate across a wide range of areas in business, practice and the public sector. They provide financial expertise and guidance based on the highest professional, technical and ethical standards. They are trained to provide clarity and apply rigour, and so help create long-term sustainable economic value.
3. The Tax Faculty is the voice of tax within ICAEW and is a leading authority on taxation. Internationally recognised as a source of expertise, the faculty is responsible for submissions to tax authorities on behalf of ICAEW as a whole. It also provides a range of tax services, including *TAXline*, a monthly journal sent to more than 8,000 members, a weekly newswire and a referral scheme.

THE TAX FACULTY'S TEN TENETS FOR A BETTER TAX SYSTEM

The tax system should be:

1. Statutory: tax legislation should be enacted by statute and subject to proper democratic scrutiny by Parliament.
2. Certain: in virtually all circumstances the application of the tax rules should be certain. It should not normally be necessary for anyone to resort to the courts in order to resolve how the rules operate in relation to his or her tax affairs.
3. Simple: the tax rules should aim to be simple, understandable and clear in their objectives.
4. Easy to collect and to calculate: a person's tax liability should be easy to calculate and straightforward and cheap to collect.
5. Properly targeted: when anti-avoidance legislation is passed, due regard should be had to maintaining the simplicity and certainty of the tax system by targeting it to close specific loopholes.
6. Constant: Changes to the underlying rules should be kept to a minimum. There should be a justifiable economic and/or social basis for any change to the tax rules and this justification should be made public and the underlying policy made clear.
7. Subject to proper consultation: other than in exceptional circumstances, the Government should allow adequate time for both the drafting of tax legislation and full consultation on it.
8. Regularly reviewed: the tax rules should be subject to a regular public review to determine their continuing relevance and whether their original justification has been realised. If a tax rule is no longer relevant, then it should be repealed.
9. Fair and reasonable: the revenue authorities have a duty to exercise their powers reasonably. There should be a right of appeal to an independent tribunal against all their decisions.
10. Competitive: tax rules and rates should be framed so as to encourage investment, capital and trade in and with the UK.

These are explained in more detail in our discussion document published in October 1999 as TAXGUIDE 4/99 (see <http://www.icaew.com/~media/Files/Technical/Tax/Tax%20news/TaxGuides/taxguide-4-99-towards-a-better-tax-system.ashx>).